

## APPENDIX

**Author(s):** Skivenes, Falch-Eriksen & Hassan

**Title of publication:** Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

**Year:** 2023

**Journal / Publisher:** European Journal of Social Work

### Content

<b>Table A1 Distribution of respondent characteristics per the randomly assigned treatment group. Total and per country (Percent and N in Parenthesis).....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Table A2 Responses to Vignette total and per country. Percent and N in parenthesis. Total N=10,348 .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Tabell A3a: Significant differences between countries on “No restrictions” (value 1). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%) .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Tabell A3b: Significant differences between countries. Some restrictions (merged value 2 and 3). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Tabell A3c: Significant differences between countries. Removal of child (merged value 4 and 5). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%) .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Table A4 Mean values and standard deviation (SD), on responses to treatments X1-X3. Per country and total sample. ....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Table A4a Mean values and SD, whole sample. Total n= 10,348.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Table A5: Comparisons of Mean Willingness by Treatments Using Tukey Post Hoc Test .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Table A6 Distribution of respondent characteristics per response category. Per total sample. Percent and n in parenthesis. In italics differences of 20% within category assumes to be evenly distributed.....</b>	<b>9</b>

**APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.**

Table 7 Results from the regression analysis with six models.....9

Table A1 Distribution of respondent characteristics per the randomly assigned treatment group. Total and per country (Percent and N in Parenthesis)

	Treatments	Gender		Age			Partner		Children		Education	
		Female	Male	Young	Adult	Old	Yes	No	Yes	No	Low	High
Austria	X1	33 (184)	33 (159)	32 (82)	34 (130)	33 (131)	34 (294)	30 (51)	32 (204)	36 (140)	33 (234)	33 (96)
	X2	32 (178)	34 (164)	31 (79)	35 (134)	33 (130)	34 (293)	30 (52)	31 (201)	36 (142)	33 (229)	36 (104)
	X3	34 (190)	33 (162)	37 (94)	31 (119)	34 (138)	32 (282)	40 (67)	37 (241)	28 (110)	34 (238)	31 (92)
England	X1	30 (279)	36 (295)	<b>38 (186)</b>	33 (207)	<b>29 (182)</b>	31 (346)	37 (227)	33 (416)	33 (157)	33 (263)	33 (293)
	X2	35 (325)	31 (253)	<b>26 (125)</b>	32 (201)	<b>40 (249)</b>	36 (402)	28 (174)	34 (428)	31 (145)	34 (269)	32 (284)
	X3	34 (316)	33 (276)	<b>36 (172)</b>	35 (223)	<b>31 (196)</b>	34 (377)	35 (212)	33 (419)	36 (169)	32 (255)	34 (300)
Estonia	X1	29 (163)	36 (148)	34 (84)	31 (109)	31 (117)	32 (136)	32 (172)	34 (25)	32 (292)	32 (137)	32 (149)
	X2	38 (217)	29 (116)	36 (89)	33 (115)	33 (126)	37 (158)	32 (169)	29 (22)	35 (316)	35 (152)	34 (158)
	X3	33 (188)	35 (142)	30 (72)	36 (124)	35 (133)	31 (130)	36 (194)	37 (27)	34 (308)	33 (143)	34 (161)
Finland	X1	34 (172)	33 (166)	37 (88)	33 (118)	32 (131)	39 (151)	30 (184)	33 (249)	33 (88)	33 (215)	35 (120)
	X2	35 (181)	32 (159)	32 (78)	33 (120)	35 (141)	31 (118)	35 (217)	33 (243)	37 (96)	34 (223)	33 (114)
	X3	31 (157)	35 (176)	31 (75)	34 (123)	33 (136)	30 (117)	35 (212)	34 (254)	30 (78)	33 (217)	32 (112)
Germany	X1	33 (356)	34 (329)	33 (159)	35 (261)	32 (266)	34 (571)	33 (107)	33 (447)	34 (237)	34 (460)	34 (188)
	X2	34 (371)	32 (306)	31 (150)	34 (254)	33 (272)	34 (572)	27 (89)	33 (444)	33 (230)	32 (435)	33 (184)
	X3	33 (365)	34 (330)	36 (173)	32 (237)	34 (283)	32 (548)	40 (133)	34 (461)	33 (233)	35 (474)	33 (181)
Ireland	X1	33 (176)	33 (161)	<b>28 (80)</b>	36 (154)	33 (106)	32 (228)	33 (108)	31 (173)	34 (165)	34 (140)	33 (179)
	X2	34 (183)	34 (169)	<b>36 (102)</b>	34 (149)	33 (104)	34 (239)	35 (114)	35 (192)	33 (162)	33 (139)	33 (179)
	X3	33 (177)	33 (164)	<b>36 (103)</b>	30 (130)	34 (108)	34 (238)	32 (104)	34 (186)	32 (156)	33 (137)	34 (182)
Norway	<b>X1</b>	<b>31 (235)</b>	<b>37 (267)</b>	<b>32 (134)</b>	<b>33 (185)</b>	<b>36 (185)</b>	<b>34 (500)</b>	<b>34 (9)</b>	<b>33 (317)</b>	<b>35 (186)</b>	<b>34 (296)</b>	<b>34 (208)</b>
	X2	34 (258)	32 (230)	31 (130)	34 (187)	33 (172)	33 (487)	<b>24 (6)</b>	33 (317)	32 (170)	33 (295)	32 (193)
	X3	36 (272)	31 (228)	37 (158)	33 (182)	31 (160)	33 (494)	<b>41 (11)</b>	34 (326)	33 (172)	33 (292)	34 (208)
Spain	X1	35 (187)	32 (150)	34 (73)	35 (147)	31 (117)	35 (284)	29 (53)	33 (198)	35 (133)	31 (137)	32 (78)

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

	X2	34 (182)	33 (153)	37 (80)	32 (134)	33 (122)	33 (269)	36 (67)	33 (202)	32 (125)	34 (149)	33 (80)
	X3	31 (166)	36 (168)	30 (65)	32 (135)	36 (136)	33 (269)	36 (66)	34 (205)	33 (127)	35 (154)	35 (85)
Total	X1	32 (1752)	34 (1674)	34 (886)	34 (1311)	32 (1235)	33 (2510)	33 (912)	33 (2029)	34 (1398)	33 (1883)	33 (1312)
	X2	35 (1896)	32 (1550)	32 (831)	33 (1294)	34 (1317)	34 (2538)	32 (888)	33 (2049)	34 (1386)	33 (1890)	33 (1296)
	X3	33 (1830)	34 (1645)	35 (912)	33 (1272)	34 (1289)	33 (2455)	36 (1000)	34 (2118)	33 (1354)	34 (1910)	34 (1319)

	Treatments	Religiouness		Income			Immigrant		Political Affiliation	
		Religious	Non-Religious	Low	Middle	High	No	Yes	Government	Opposition
Austria	X1	37 (233)	<b>27 (93)</b>	30 (51)	32(125)	35 (168)	35 (324)	19 (20)	34 (137)	34 (117)
	X2	31 (197)	<b>37 (128)</b>	36 (61)	31(121)	33 (161)	31 (293)	47 (48)	33 (132)	32 (110)
	X3	32 (207)	<b>36 (124)</b>	33 (56)	37(143)	32 (153)	34 (314)	34 (35)	33 (131)	34 (118)
England	X1	32 (244)	35 (312)	35 (80)	33(140)	33 (356)	34 (534)	30 (28)	33 (159)	34 (287)
	X2	36 (277)	31 (276)	33 (76)	31(132)	34 (369)	33 (530)	34 (32)	35 (170)	31 (264)
	X3	32 (245)	35 (310)	31 (71)	36 (151)	34 (369)	33 (519)	36 (34)	31 (152)	35 (293)
Estonia	X1	<b>29 (71)</b>	34 (218)	32 (193)	34 (73)	29 (44)	32 (307)	<b>51 (3)</b>	<b>30 (91)</b>	<b>35 (191)</b>
	X2	<b>31 (75)</b>	34 (223)	34 (204)	31 (66)	39 (59)	34 (327)	<b>41 (3)</b>	<b>39 (119)</b>	<b>29 (161)</b>
	X3	<b>39 (95)</b>	32 (208)	34 (205)	34 (73)	32 (50)	34 (325)	<b>8 (1)</b>	<b>31 (96)</b>	<b>36 (193)</b>
Finland	X1	33 (171)	35 (151)	31 (135)	37 (116)	32 (88)	33 (327)	<b>42 (8)</b>	32 (111)	34 (122)
	X2	34 (175)	33 (140)	35 (148)	33 (102)	33 (89)	34 (330)	<b>26 (5)</b>	34 (117)	33 (118)
	X3	34 (176)	32 (136)	34 (146)	30 (94)	35 (94)	33 (321)	<b>32 (6)</b>	34 (115)	34 (121)
Germany	X1	34 (369)	33 (273)	30 (129)	34 (210)	34 (347)	33 (605)	34 (54)	35 (239)	33 (275)
	X2	33 (366)	32 (261)	31 (130)	32 (193)	34 (353)	32 (594)	33 (52)	31 (214)	33 (276)
	X3	33 (362)	35 (293)	39 (164)	34 (209)	32 (323)	34 (631)	33 (51)	34 (231)	34 (278)
Ireland	X1	32 (228)	<b>34 (92)</b>	30 (36)	39 (80)	31 (222)	31 (238)	<b>38 (90)</b>	34 (110)	32 (117)
	X2	32 (226)	<b>40 (109)</b>	34 (41)	29 (60)	36 (252)	34 (263)	<b>34 (81)</b>	33 (107)	36 (131)
	X3	36 (252)	<b>26 (72)</b>	36 (43)	32 (65)	33 (233)	34 (262)	<b>28 (66)</b>	33 (106)	32 (115)
Norway	X1	35 (244)	32 (234)	32 (275)	36 (138)	36 (90)	34 (479)	34 (25)	38 (233)	30 (257)
	X2	35 (245)	30 (217)	33 (284)	31 (119)	34 (84)	33 (466)	32 (23)	30 (181)	35 (298)

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

Spain	X3	30 (214)	38 (272)	34 (294)	34 (132)	30 (73)	33 (476)	33 (24)	33 (199)	34 (289)
	X1	32 (180)	35 (129)	42 (30)	32 (104)	33 (202)	33 (313)	35 (22)	35 (84)	33 (250)
	X2	34 (186)	32 (119)	33 (24)	38 (124)	31 (188)	33 (312)	29 (18)	32 (78)	34 (254)
	X3	34 (187)	34 (125)	25 (18)	30 (100)	36 (217)	33 (311)	37 (24)	33 (80)	33 (252)
Total	X1	33 (1739)	33 (1500)	32 (928)	34(986)	33 (1517)	33 (3128)	33 (250)	34 (1163)	33 (1617)
	X2	33 (1748)	33 (1472)	33 (969)	32(918)	34 (1553)	33 (3113)	35 (263)	33 (1117)	33 (1610)
	X3	33 (1738)	34 (1539)	34 (997)	34(966)	33 (1512)	34 (3158)	32 (241)	33 (1110)	34 (1659)

Table A2 Responses to Vignette total and per country. Percent and N in parenthesis. Total N=10,348

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Total
Austria	3.49 (36)	42.46 (441)	45.24 (470)	4.66 (48)	4.15 (43)	100 (1038)
England	2.66 (46)	34.71 (605)	43.91 (765)	12.33 (215)	6.40 (111)	100 (1743)
Estonia	2.57 (25)	41.43 (402)	45.50 (441)	5.98 (58)	4.51 (44)	100 (970)
Finland	4.18 (42)	31.01 (314)	47.12 (477)	12.14 (123)	5.55 (56)	100 (1013)
Germany	6.07 (125)	33.25 (684)	47.50 (977)	7.92 (163)	5.26 (108)	100 (2057)
Ireland	4.27 (44)	37.25 (384)	45.75 (472)	10.02 (103)	2.71 (28)	100 (1032)
Norway	1.34 (20)	25.68 (383)	50.70 (756)	13.03 (194)	9.25 (138)	100 (1492)
Spain	4.08 (41)	35.36 (355)	47.59 (478)	8.34 (84)	4.63 (46)	100 (1005)
Total	3.67 (380)	34.48 (3568)	46.74 (4837)	9.55 (989)	5.56 (575)	100 (10348)

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

**Tabell A3a: Significant differences between countries on “No restrictions” (value 1). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%)**

	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Austria</i>	<i>UK</i>	<i>Estonia</i>	<i>Finland</i>	<i>Spain</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>Norway</i>
<i>Ireland</i>			X					X
<i>Austria</i>							X	X
<i>UK</i>	X				X	X	X	
<i>Estonia</i>							X	
<i>Finland</i>			X					X
<i>Spain</i>								X
<i>Germany</i>		X	X	X				X
<i>Norway</i>	X	X			X	X	X	

**Tabell A3b: Significant differences between countries. Some restrictions (merged value 2 and 3). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%)**

	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Austria</i>	<i>UK</i>	<i>Estonia</i>	<i>Finland</i>	<i>Spain</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>Norway</i>
<i>Ireland</i>		X	X	X	X			X
<i>Austria</i>	X		X		X	X	X	X
<i>UK</i>	X	X		X		X		
<i>Estonia</i>	X		X		X	X	X	X
<i>Finland</i>	X	X		X		X		
<i>Spain</i>		X	X	X	X			X
<i>Germany</i>		X		X				X
<i>Norway</i>	X	X		X		X	X	

**Tabell A3c: Significant differences between countries. Removal of child (merged value 4 and 5). Zigne. Simple random sample, one-sided test (1%)**

	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Austria</i>	<i>UK</i>	<i>Estonia</i>	<i>Finland</i>	<i>Spain</i>	<i>Germany</i>	<i>Norway</i>
<i>Ireland</i>		X	X		X			X
<i>Austria</i>	X		X		X	X	X	X
<i>UK</i>	X	X		X		X	X	
<i>Estonia</i>			X		X		X	X
<i>Finland</i>	X	X		X		X	X	X
<i>Spain</i>		X	X		X			X
<i>Germany</i>		X	X	X	X			X
<i>Norway</i>	X	X		X	X	X	X	

**Table A4 Mean values and standard deviation (SD), on responses to treatments X1-X3. Per country and total sample.**

	Treatments	Mean	SD
Austria	X1: Substance X1	2.73	0.84
	X2: Mental X2	2.64	0.84
	X3: Learning X3	2.53	0.71
England	X1: Substance X1	3.04	0.90
	X2: Mental X2	2.89	0.88
	X3: Learning X3	2.63	0.88
Estonia	X1: Substance X1	2.94	0.90
	X2: Mental X2	2.71	0.80
	X3: Learning X3	2.42	0.64
Finland	X1: Substance X1	3.06	0.91
	X2: Mental X2	2.78	0.88
	X3: Learning X3	2.67	0.84
Germany	X1: Substance X1	2.90	0.93

**APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.**

Ireland	X2: Mental X2	2.69	0.86
	X3: Learning X3	2.60	0.85
	X1: Substance X1	2.84	0.80
Norway	X2: Mental X2	2.66	0.80
	X3: Learning X3	2.59	0.82
	X1: Substance X1	3.15	0.91
Spain	X2: Mental X2	2.98	0.84
	X3: Learning X3	2.96	0.93
	X1: Substance X1	2.85	0.90
Total	X2: Mental X2	2.81	0.84
	X3: Learning X3	2.56	0.77
	X1: Substance X1	2.95	0.90
	X2: Mental X2	2.78	0.85
	X3: Learning X3	2.63	0.84

Table A4a Mean values and SD, whole sample. Total n= 10,348.

	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Austria	1033	2.64	0.80
England	1735	2.85	0.90
Estonia	1012	2.68	0.81
Finland	1008	2.84	0.89
Germany	2047	2.73	0.89
Ireland	1027	2.70	0.81
Norway	1486	3.03	0.90
Spain	1000	2.74	0.85
Total	10348	2.79	0.87

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

Table A5: Comparisons of Mean Willingness by Treatments Using Tukey Post Hoc Test

	Treatments	Mean	F-Test	Mean Differences	99% Confidence Interval			
					Significance	Lower Bond	Upper Bond	
Austria	X1: Substance abuse	2.73	$F(2,1030)=5.55^{**}$	X2 vs X1	-0.07	0.452	-0.26	0.11
	X2: Mental health	2.64		X3 vs X1***	-0.20	0.000	-0.44	-0.07
	X3: Learning disability	2.53		X3 vs X2	-0.13	0.434	-0.26	0.10
England	X1: Substance abuse	3.04	$F(2,1732)=30.96^{***}$	X2 vs X1*	-0.15	0.011	-0.30	0.11
	X2: Mental health	2.89		X3 vs X1***	-0.39	0.000	-0.54	-0.24
	X3: Learning disability	2.63		X3 vs X2***	-0.24	0.000	-0.39	0.09
Estonia	X1: Substance abuse	2.94	$F(2,1009)=37.18^{***}$	X2 vs X1**	-0.21	0.001	-0.39	-0.04
	X2: Mental health	2.71		X3 vs X1***	-0.45	0.000	-0.62	-0.27
	X3: Learning disability	2.41		X3 vs X2***	-0.23	0.000	-0.41	-0.06
Finland	X1: Substance abuse	3.06	$F(2,1005)=17.94^{***}$	X2 vs X1*	-0.28	0.011	-0.48	0.08
	X2: Mental health	2.78		X3 vs X1***	-0.40	0.000	-0.60	-0.20
	X3: Learning disability	2.67		X3 vs X2	-0.12	0.198	-0.31	-0.08
Germany	X1: Substance abuse	2.90	$F(2, 2044)= 20.89^{***}$	X2 vs X1***	-0.22	0.000	-0.36	-0.08
	X2: Mental health	2.69		X3 vs X1***	-0.32	0.000	-0.45	-0.18
	X3: Learning disability	2.60		X3 vs X2	-0.09	0.116	-0.23	0.04
Ireland	X1: Substance abuse	2.84	$F(2,1024)=9.15^{***}$	X2 vs X1*	-0.18	0.011	-0.36	-0.08
	X2: Mental health	2.66		X3 vs X1***	-0.25	0.000	-0.46	-0.18
	X3: Learning disability	2.58		X3 vs X2	-0.08	0.434	-0.23	0.04
Norway	X1: Substance abuse	3.15	$F(2,1483)=6.85^{**}$	X2 vs X1**	-0.17	0.009	-0.33	0.00
	X2: Mental health	2.98		X3 vs X1**	-0.18	0.003	-0.35	-0.02
	X3: Learning disability	2.96		X3 vs X2	-0.02	0.948	-0.18	0.15
Spain	X1: Substance abuse	2.85	$F(2,997)=11.38^{***}$	X2 vs X1	-0.05	0.700	-0.24	0.14
	X2: Mental health	2.81		X3 vs X1***	-0.29	0.000	-0.48	-0.10
	X3: Learning disability	2.56		X3 vs X2**	-0.24	0.001	-0.43	-0.05
Total	X1: Substance abuse	2.95	$F(2,10345)=117.02^{***}$	X2 vs X1***	-0.17	0.000	-0.36	0.00
	X2: Mental health	2.78		X3 vs X1***	-0.31	0.000	-0.44	-0.07

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.



X3: Learning disability	2.63	X3 vs X2***	-0.14	0.000	-0.26	0.10
<b>Note:</b> * $p \leq .05$ ; ** $p \leq .01$ ; and *** $p \leq .001$ .						

Table A6 Distribution of respondent characteristics per response category. Per total sample. Percent and n in parenthesis. In italics differences of 20% within category assumes to be evenly distributed.

Response values	Gender		Age			Partner		Children		Education		
	Female	Male	Young	Adult	Old	Yes	No	No	Yes	Low	High	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37 (139)</b>	<b>63 (241)</b>	<b>36 (135)</b>	<b>40 (150)</b>	<b>25 (95)</b>	<b>72 (270)</b>	<b>28 (103)</b>	<b>50 (189)</b>	<b>50 (190)</b>	<b>69 (228)</b>	<b>31 (105)</b>
	2	51 (1810)	49 (1758)	28 (996)	35 (1233)	38 (1339)	70 (2507)	30 (1050)	60 (2156)	40 (1409)	57 (1913)	43 (1420)
	3	54 (2620)	46 (2217)	24 (1180)	35 (1682)	41 (1974)	73 (3519)	27 (1298)	62 (2987)	38 (1843)	61 (2738)	39 (1754)
	4	49 (488)	51 (501)	25 (250)	38 (371)	37 (367)	71 (704)	29 (282)	62 (612)	38 (375)	57 (519)	43 (399)
	<b>5</b>	<b>43 (247)</b>	<b>57 (328)</b>	<b>27 (155)</b>	<b>39 (222)</b>	<b>34 (198)</b>	<b>75 (427)</b>	<b>25 (142)</b>	<b>61 (351)</b>	<b>39 (222)</b>	<b>55 (293)</b>	<b>45 (241)</b>

Response values	Religion		Income			Political Affiliation		
	Religious	Non-Religious	Low	Middle	High	Government	Opposition	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>61 (209)</b>	<b>39 (132)</b>	<b>30 (115)</b>	<b>29 (112)</b>	<b>40 (153)</b>	<b>39 (116)</b>	<b>61 (181)</b>
	2	54 (1799)	46 (1557)	27 (972)	28 (984)	45 (1612)	39 (1121)	61 (1761)
	3	54 (2467)	46 (2096)	29 (1392)	27 (1308)	44 (2136)	41 (1595)	59 (2262)
	4	54 (509)	46 (432)	28 (279)	26 (262)	45 (448)	46 (354)	54 (423)
	<b>5</b>	<b>46 (246)</b>	<b>54 (288)</b>	<b>29 (166)</b>	<b>29 (164)</b>	<b>43 (244)</b>	<b>50 (230)</b>	<b>50 (231)</b>

Table 7 Results from the regression analysis with six models.

Table 7 display results from the regression analysis with six models. The analysis confirms that substance abuse exerts a much greater influence ( $b=0.32$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) on support for restricting parental freedom than mental health condition ( $b=0.14$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). When the country and type of child protection

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

system are introduced as independent variables into the regression equations of Models 2 and 3, respectively, these effects stay nearly unchanged. Additionally, estimates reported under Model 3 show that citizens in the child right system are more supportive of restricting parental freedom ( $b=0.25$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) than those in the child maltreatment system ( $b=0.06$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). Together, these evidence provide extremely strong support for H3 that respondents exposed to information about parental substance abuse would favor governmental intervention to restrict parental freedom.

These evidence also support H4, suggesting that citizens in the child rights system are more likely to support reducing parental freedom than people in the child maltreatment or wellbeing systems.

Comparing the estimates reported under Models 4 through 6 suggests that while substance abuse causes people to consider limiting parental freedom across all three types of child protection systems, mental health concerns are only important in maltreatment and wellbeing systems. However, there is great variance in the strength of the impacts. Thus, substance abuse is the most prominent predictor of restricting parental freedom in the maltreatment system ( $b=0.41$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), followed by the child welfare system ( $b=0.29$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and the child rights system ( $b=0.26$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). Simultaneously, mental health issue is a more prominent predictor of restricting ( $b=0.22$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) than in the wellbeing system ( $b=0.15$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and is not a cause for concern among those in the child rights system.

**Table 7** OLS Estimates of treatments on support for restricting freedom

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4 Maltreatment	Model 5 Wellbeing	Model 6 Rights
Constant	2.63***	2.88***	2.55***	2.60***	2.51***	2.85***
Conditions (ref. X3: Learning disability)						
X1: Substance abuse	0.32***	0.32***	0.32***	0.41***	0.29***	0.26***
X2: Mental health	0.14***	0.15***	0.15***	0.22***	0.15***	0.07
Gender (ref. Male) 1						
Male			-0.02	-0.00	-0.01	-0.07†
Age (ref. Young) 2						
Adult			0.06**	0.06	0.11*	-0.00
Old			0.05*	0.05	0.07	0.06
Partner (ref. Yes) 3						
No			-0.07**	-0.04	0.03	-0.19***
Children (ref. No) 4						
Yes			-0.05*	-0.11**	-0.05	0.05

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.

Education (ref. Low) 5						
High			0.04*	-0.01	-0.04	0.18***
Religious (ref. Yes) 6						
No			0.06*	-0.00	0.09**	0.06
Income (ref. Low) 7						
Middle			-0.04	0.06	0.00	0.00
High			0.04	0.08	0.12**	-0.08
Immigrant (ref. No) 8						
Yes			-0.10*	-0.15*	0.02	-0.23*
Affiliation (ref. Government Party) 9						
Opposition Party			-0.08***	-0.04	-0.13***	-0.07*
Countries (ref. Norway)						
Austria		-0.39***				
England		-0.18***				
Estonia		-0.34***				
Finland		-0.19***				
Germany		-0.30***				
Ireland		-0.33***				
Spain		-0.29***				
Child Protection System (ref. Welbeing)						
Maltreatment			0.06**			
Rights			0.25***			
<i>Adjusted R<sup>2</sup></i>	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
N	10,348	10,348	7,271	2,562	2,642	2,067
<b>Note:</b> † $p \leq .10$ ; * $p \leq .05$ ; ** $p \leq .01$ ; and *** $p \leq .001$ .						

APPENDIX TO SKIVENES, FALCK-ERIKSEN & HASSAN (2023). Restricting Family Life: An Examination of Citizens' Views on state interventions and Parental Freedom in Eight European Countries.