

APPENDIX

Author(s): Katrin Križ and Marit Skivenes

Title of publication: Child-centric populations? A study of Nordic populations' justifications for children's participation

Year: 2026

Journal / Publisher: Nordic Journal of Human Rights

Contents

Table A1a. Distribution Table for justification for child participation.	1
Table A1b. Distribution Table for justification for child participation, including others and do not know responses.	1
Table A2a. Distribution of respondents' choice per country and per orientation	2
Table A2b. Freedom-Orientation.....	2
Table A2c. Care-Orientation	3
Table A2d. Order-Orientation.....	3
Table A3a. It helps the adult to make better decisions	4
Table A3b. It is their life	4
Table A3c. It is in the best interest of the child to be heard	5
Table A3d. It is a legally established right	5
Table A3e. It is important learning for children to be heard	5

Table A1a. Distribution Table for justification for child participation.

		Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Value 4	Value 5
Denmark	n	180	205	413	48	85
	%	19.33	22.01	44.39	5.12	9.14
Finland	n	91	129	526	93	134
	%	9.4	13.28	54.03	9.51	13.78
Iceland	n	266	236	135	156	186
	%	27.11	24.11	13.83	15.93	19.02
Norway	n	95	223	386	138	91
	%	10.16	23.94	41.4	14.8	9.7
Sweden	n	110	195	447	125	77
	%	11.57	20.4	46.85	13.07	8.11
Total	n	742	989	1909	560	574
	%	15.55	20.72	39.99	11.72	12.02

Note: It helps the adult to make better decisions (**pragmatic**) (1); It is their life (**moral**) (2); It is in the best interest of the child to be heard (**ethical**) (3); It is a legally established right (**legal**) (4); It is important learning for children to be heard (**pragmatic**) (5). Weighted data is used for analysis.

Table A1b. Distribution Table for justification for child participation, including others and do not know responses.

		Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Value 4	Value 5	Value 6	Value 7
Denmark	n	180	205	413	48	85	74	12
	%	17.69	20.15	40.64	4.69	8.37	7.27	1.18
Finland	n	91	129	526	93	134	36	5
	%	9.01	12.75	51.84	9.13	13.22	3.55	0.51
Iceland	n	266	236	135	156	186	31	10
	%	26.02	23.14	13.27	15.29	18.25	3.07	0.96
Norway	n	95	223	386	138	91	63	10
	%	9.43	22.21	38.4	13.73	9	6.24	0.99
Sweden	n	110	195	447	125	77	52	7
	%	10.89	19.22	44.13	12.32	7.64	5.1	0.7
Total	n	742	989	1909	560	574	256	44
	%	14.63	19.49	37.63	11.03	11.31	5.04	0.87

Note: As you see it, what is the most important reason why children must be heard in cases that concern them? It helps the adult to make better decisions (1); It is their life (2); It is in the best interest of the child to be heard (3); It is a legally established right (4); It is important learning for children to be heard (5); Other (6); Don't know/will not answer (7). Weighted data is used for analysis.

Table A2a. Distribution of respondents' choice per country and per orientation

Country	Dominant Preference	n	%
Denmark	Mixed/No Clear Priority	85	8.35
	Freedom-Dominant	122	12
	Care-Dominant	635	62.43
	Order-Dominant	175	17.21
Finland	Mixed/No Clear Priority	58	5.76
	Freedom-Dominant	97	9.53
	Care-Dominant	567	55.87
	Order-Dominant	293	28.84
Iceland	Mixed/No Clear Priority	53	5.19
	Freedom-Dominant	118	11.6
	Care-Dominant	615	60.28
	Order-Dominant	234	22.93
Norway	Mixed/No Clear Priority	122	12.14
	Freedom-Dominant	135	13.45
	Care-Dominant	525	52.17
	Order-Dominant	224	22.24
Sweden	Mixed/No Clear Priority	82	8.12
	Freedom-Dominant	117	11.54
	Care-Dominant	452	44.6
	Order-Dominant	362	35.74
Total	Mixed/No Clear Priority	401	7.9
	Freedom-Dominant	590	11.62
	Care-Dominant	2794	55.09
	Order-Dominant	1288	25.39

Table A2b. Freedom-Orientation

Country	Dominant Preference	n	%
Denmark	Freedom-Dominant	122	12
	Others	895	88
Finland	Freedom-Dominant	97	9.53
	Others	918	90.47
Iceland	Freedom-Dominant	118	11.6
	Others	903	88.4
Norway	Freedom-Dominant	135	13.45
	Others	871	86.55
Sweden	Freedom-Dominant	117	11.54
	Others	897	88.46
Total	Freedom-Dominant	590	11.62
	Others	4483	88.38

Table A2c. Care-Orientation

Country	Dominant Preference	n	%
Denmark	Care-Dominant	635	62.43
	Others	382	37.57
Finland	Care-Dominant	567	55.87
	Others	448	44.13
Iceland	Care-Dominant	615	60.28
	Others	406	39.72
Norway	Care-Dominant	525	52.17
	Others	481	47.83
Sweden	Care-Dominant	452	44.6
	Others	562	55.4
Total	Care-Dominant	2794	55.09
	Others	2279	44.91

Table A2d. Order-Orientation

Country	Dominant Preference	n	%
Denmark	Order-Dominant	175	17.21
	Others	842	82.79
Finland	Order-Dominant	293	28.84
	Others	722	71.16
Iceland	Order-Dominant	234	22.93
	Others	787	77.07
Norway	Order-Dominant	224	22.24
	Others	782	77.76
Sweden	Order-Dominant	362	35.74
	Others	652	64.26
Total	Order-Dominant	1288	25.39
	Others	3785	74.61

Table A3a. It helps the adult to make better decisions

Country	Model 1		Model 2		
	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Background Variable
Denmark	-	-	-	-	Age (Adult), Age (Old), Partner (Yes)
Finland	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland	-	1.669** (0.281)	-	1.799** (0.321)	Age (Adult), Age (Old)
Norway	-	2.226** (0.564)	-	2.634*** (0.687)	-
Sweden	-	2.176*** (0.491)	-	2.267** (0.566)	-

Note: Odds ratio is shown. Included background variables are age group, gender, partnership status, having children, education level, and employment status. The listed background variables in the table indicate that there are significant differences between the selected and reference groups. Non-response answers are excluded. Weighted data is used for analyses. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Table A3b. It is their life

Country	Model 1		Model 2		
	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Background Variable
Denmark	1.975** (0.449)	-	1.838* (0.465)	-	Partner (Yes)
Finland	1.825* (0.526)	-	-	-	Age (Old), Gender (Male), Children (Yes)
Iceland	-	-	-	-	Age (Adult), Age (Old), Education (Higher)
Norway	1.75* (0.382)	-	1.657* (0.395)	-	Age (Adult)
Sweden	1.875** (0.453)	-	-	-	Gender (Male), Education (Higher)

Note: Odds ratio is shown. Included background variables are age group, gender, partnership status, having children, education level, and employment status. The listed background variables in the table indicate that there are significant differences between the selected and reference groups. Non-response answers are excluded. Weighted data is used for analyses. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Table A3c. It is in the best interest of the child to be heard

Country	Model 1		Model 2		
	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Background Variable
Denmark	-	-	-	-	Age (Adult), Education (Higher)
Finland	-	-	-	-	Gender (Male), Employment (Employed)
Iceland	-	-	-	-	-
Norway	-	0.678* (0.116)	-	0.647* (0.119)	-
Sweden	0.556** (0.125)	-	-	-	Gender (Male)

Note: Odds ratio is shown. Included background variables are age group, gender, partnership status, having children, education level, and employment status. The listed background variables in the table indicate that there are significant differences between the selected and reference groups. Non-response answers are excluded. Weighted data is used for analyses. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Table A3d. It is a legally established right

Country	Model 1		Model 2		
	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Background Variable
Denmark	-	-	-	-	-
Finland	-	-	-	-	Partner (Yes), Employment (Employed)
Iceland	-	0.533** (0.129)	-	0.573* (0.141)	Gender (Male)
Norway	-	-	-	-	Gender (Male), Partner (Yes)
Sweden	-	-	-	-	Children (Yes)

Note: Odds ratio is shown. Included background variables are age group, gender, partnership status, having children, education level, and employment status. The listed background variables in the table indicate that there are significant differences between the selected and reference groups. Non-response answers are excluded. Weighted data is used for analyses. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Table A3e. It is important learning for children to be heard

Country	Model 1		Model 2		
	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Freedom (Ref: Care)	Order	Background Variable
Denmark	-	-	-	-	Gender (Male)
Finland	0.333* (0.159)	-	0.284* (0.152)	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-	-	-
Norway	-	-	-	-	Employment (Employed)
Sweden	-	-	-	-	Education (Higher)

Note: Odds ratio is shown. Included background variables are age group, gender, partnership status, having children, education level, and employment status. The listed background variables in the table indicate that there are significant differences between the selected and reference groups. Non-response answers are excluded. Weighted data is used for analyses. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$